FILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 08/19/2019 09:15 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900034/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NASSAU

	WIL	LIA	١M	MC)RAN	[,
--	-----	-----	----	----	------	----

Plaintiff,

v.

NATIONAL BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA FOUNDATION a/k/a THE BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA; THEODORE ROOSEVELT COUNCIL, INC., BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA f/k/a NASSAU COUNTY COUNCIL OF BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA, INCORPORATED; HOUSE OF HOPE PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH; and DOES 1-5 whose identities are unknown to Plaintiff,

	D	e	fei	٦d	a	n	ts	
4	$\overline{}$				LU.		w	

Index No.		
maex No.		

SUMMONS

TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANTS:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the Complaint, a copy of which is hereby served upon you, and to serve a copy of your Answer to the Complaint upon the undersigned attorneys listed below within twenty (20) days after the service of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within thirty (30) days after the service is complete if this Summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in the case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded herein.

FILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 08/19/2019 09:15 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900034/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

Dated: August 19, 2019 New York, New York

/s/ Patrick Stoneking

Patrick Stoneking

Nahid A. Shaikh

Rayna E. Kessler (*Pro Hac Vice to be Filed*)

ROBINS KAPLAN LLP

399 Park Avenue, Suite 3600

New York, NY 10022

Telephone: (212) 980-7400

Email: PStoneking@RobinsKaplan.com Email: NShaikh@RobinsKaplan.com Email: RKessler@RobinsKaplan.com

Tara D. Sutton (*Pro Hac Vice to be Filed*)

ROBINS KAPLAN LLP

800 LaSalle Avenue, Suite 2800

Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone: (612) 349-8500

Email: TSutton@RobinsKaplan.com

Roman M. Silberfeld (Pro Hac Vice to be Filed)

ROBINS KAPLAN LLP

2049 Century Park East, Suite 3400

Los Angeles, CA 90067

Telephone: (310) 552-0130

Email: RSilberfeld@RobinsKaplan.com

Jeffrey R. Anderson

J. Michael Reck

JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES, P.A.

52 Duane Street, 7th Floor

New York, NY 10007

Telephone: (646) 759-2551

Email: Jeff@AndersonAdvocates.com
Email: MReck@AndersonAdvocates.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900034/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NASSAU

WILLIAM MORAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

NATIONAL BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA FOUNDATION a/k/a THE BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA; THEODORE ROOSEVELT COUNCIL, INC., BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA f/k/a NASSAU COUNTY COUNCIL OF BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA, INCORPORATED; HOUSE OF HOPE PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH; and DOES 1-5 whose identities are unknown to Plaintiff,

Defendants.

Index No. _____

COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

From approximately the years of 1968 through 1972, Jerry Hayes sexually abused Plaintiff William Moran as a child. While the abuse occurred, Defendants were generally negligent, they negligently employed Jerry Hayes, and gave him access to children, including Plaintiff. This lawsuit arises out of Plaintiff's significant damages from that sexual abuse, as described below. Plaintiff, by and through Plaintiff's attorneys, states and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

A. Plaintiff

 At all times material to this Complaint, Plaintiff resided in the State of New York. COUNTY CLERK 08/19/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

INDEX NO. 900034/2019

2. Plaintiff currently resides in the State of New York.

B. **Defendants**

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

3. Whenever reference is made to any Defendant entity, such reference includes that entity, its parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, and successors. In addition, whenever reference is made to any act, deed, or transaction of any entity, the allegation means that the entity engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by or through its officers, directors, agents, employees, or representatives while they were actively engaged in the management, direction, control, or transaction of the entity's business or affairs.

- 4. At all times material, Defendant National Boy Scouts of America Foundation a/k/a The Boy Scouts of America ("BSA") was and is a congressionally chartered corporation, authorized to do business in New York, with its principal place of business located at 1325 W. Walnut Hill Lane, Irving, Texas 75038. Defendant BSA includes but is not limited to the organization and any other organization and/or entities operating under the same or similar name with the same or similar principal place of business.
- 5. At all times material, BSA had and continues to have continuous and systematic contacts throughout the State of New York, including but not limited to Nassau County.
- 6. At all times material, Theodore Roosevelt Council, Inc., Boy Scouts of America f/k/a Nassau County Council of Boy Scouts of America, Incorporated, Boy Scouts of America ("Theodore Roosevelt Council") was and continues to be a non-profit

COUNTY CLERK 08/19/2019 09:15

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

INDEX NO. 900034/2019

York, with its principal place of business at 2 Shelter Rock Road, Roslyn, NY 11576.

corporation authorized to conduct business and conducting business in the state of New

Defendant Theodore Roosevelt Council includes but is not limited to the organization

and any other organization and/or entities operating under the same or similar name

with the same or similar principal place of business.

7. Upon information and belief, Theodore Roosevelt Council merged with

Nassau County Council of Boy Scouts of America, Incorporated ("Nassau County

Council") to create the Theodore Roosevelt Council in 1997. Upon information and belief,

Theodore Roosevelt Council absorbed Nassau County Council in a de facto merger or a

series of de facto mergers. Upon information and belief, Theodore Roosevelt Council

continued the operations of Nassau County Council and remained under the direct

authority and control of the Boy Scout of America after the merger(s). Upon information

and belief, Nassau County Council ceased ordinary business operations as soon as

possible after the transaction(s) and Theodore Roosevelt Council assumed Nassau

County Council's liabilities ordinarily necessary for the uninterrupted continuation of the

Nassau County Council's operations and business with a continuity of management,

personnel, physical location and general business operation. Under the doctrine of de

facto merger, Defendant Theodore Roosevelt Council is liable for the negligence of

Nassau County Council, Boy Scouts of America. Defendant Theodore Roosevelt Council

is a wholly owned subsidiary of Defendant BSA.

8. At all times material, House of Hope Presbyterian Church was and

continues to be a non-profit corporation authorized to conduct business and conducting

5

TILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 08/19/2019 09:15 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900034/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

business in the State of New York, with its principal place of business at 8454 248th Street, Bellerose, NY 11426. Defendant House of Hope Presbyterian Church includes but is not

, I j

limited to the organization and any other organization and/or entity operating under the

same or similar name with the same or similar principal place of business.

9. Defendants Does 1 through 5 are unknown agents whose identities will be

provided when they become known pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 1024.

JURISDICTION

10. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 301 as Defendants

Theodore Roosevelt Council's and House of Hope Presbyterian Church's principal place

of business is in New York and because the unlawful conduct complained of herein

occurred in New York.

11. Venue is proper pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 503 in that Nassau County is the

principal place of business of Defendant Theodore Roosevelt Council. In addition, many

of the events giving rise to this action occurred in Nassau County.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

12. At all times material, Jerry Hayes ("Hayes") was an adult leader of Boy

Scout Troop No. 258 at House of Hope Presbyterian Church in Bellerose, New York. At

all times material, Hayes remained under the direct supervision, employ and control of

Defendants. Defendants placed Hayes in positions where he had access to and worked

with children as an integral part of his work.

13. Plaintiff was a youth member of Troop No. 258 at House of Hope

Presbyterian Church and came into contact with Hayes as an agent and representative of

6

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900034/2019 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

Defendants.

14. Through his participation in the Boy Scouts, Plaintiff developed great

admiration, trust and respect for scouting and came to know and trust Hayes as his

scoutmaster, a mentor and authority figure. During and through these activities, Plaintiff,

as a minor and vulnerable child, was dependent on Defendants and Hayes. Defendants

had custody of Plaintiff an accepted the entrustment of Plaintiff and, therefore, had

responsibility for Plaintiff and authority over Plaintiff.

15. In approximately 1968 to 1972, when Plaintiff was approximately 11 to 14

years old, Hayes engaged in unpermitted sexual contact with Plaintiff.

16. Defendants have known for decades that sexual predators had infiltrated

scouting, desiring positions around children, due in part to their sexual interest in

children. Defendants knew or should have known of the danger that pedophiles

presented to children participating in scouting before Plaintiff was abused.

17. Defendants' own "Ineligible Volunteer Files," including a subcategory

referred to as the "Perversion Files," collected and maintained in secrecy for at least

seventy years, reveal that pedophiles are drawn to scouting and that the Boy Scouts is a

sanctuary for child molesters.

18. Defendants' "Perversion Files" demonstrate that the Boy Scouts are aware

and have been aware that pedophiles are attracted to scouting, the distinctive

characteristics of scouting render scouts particularly susceptible to pedophiles who are

given authority, and the actual and apparent authority of persons who serve in

scoutmaster roles are used by pedophiles to sexually abuse young scouts in and out of

7

COUNTY CLERK 08/19/2019 09:15

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900034/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

scouting.

19. In 2012, Defendant BSA was ordered to disclose the Ineligible Volunteer

Files of 1247 alleged child sexual abusers who worked for the Boy Scouts of America

between 1965 and 1985 by the Oregon Supreme Court. BSA continues to conceal

information about ineligible volunteers that have been disclosed and the files of, and

information about, ineligible volunteers not included in the 2012 release. As a result,

children are at risk of being sexually molested.

20. In 2019, Dr. Janet Warren, a professor of psychiatry and neurobehavioral

sciences employed at the Institute of Law, Psychiatry and Public Policy at the University

of Virginia, testified that she was contracted by BSA to review Ineligible Volunteer Files

from 1944 through 2016. Dr. Warren testified that her review of the files identified 7,819

perpetrators who they believed had been involved in sexually abusing a child. A review

of the BSA files also identified 12,254 victims.

21. In 2013, a Minnesota District Court ordered Defendant BSA to disclose all

Ineligible Volunteer Files of alleged child sexual abusers who worked in the Boy Scouts

of America between 1999 and 2008. The Ineligible Volunteer Files produced under this

order comprised approximately 1,538 cases of abuse detailed in approximately 48,000

pages of documents. However, these files are under court order not to be published,

shared, or distributed by parties other than Defendant BSA without the court's

permission, which has not been granted. As a result, BSA continues to conceal

information about ineligible volunteers who worked for the Boy Scouts of America

between 1999 and 2008. As a result, children are at risk of being sexually molested.

8

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

INDEX NO. 900034/2019

22. Upon information and belief, Defendant BSA has failed to report multiple allegations of sexual abuse of children by its agents to the proper civil authorities. As a result, children continue to be at risk of being sexually molested.

23. Defendant BSA continues to conceal important information about the agents and volunteers accused of sexual misconduct with minors. As a result, children are at risk of being sexually molested.

COUNT I: NEGLIGENCE

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth under this Count.
- 25. Each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care to protect the Plaintiff from injury.
- 26. Each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty of care because each Defendant had a special relationship with Plaintiff.
- 27. Defendants also had a duty arising from the special relationship that existed with Plaintiff's parents, and other parents of young, innocent, vulnerable children in the BSA to properly train and supervise its agents. This special relationship arose because of the high degree of vulnerability of the children entrusted to their care. As a result of this high degree of vulnerability and risk of sexual abuse inherent in such a special relationship, Defendants had a duty to establish measures of protection not necessary for persons who are older and better able to safeguard themselves.
- 28. Each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from harm because each Defendant also had a special relationship with Hayes.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

INDEX NO. 900034/2019

29. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because they solicited

youth and parents for participation in their youth programs; encouraged youth and

parents to have the youth participate in their programs; undertook custody of minor

children, including Plaintiff; promoted their facilities and programs as being safe for

children; held their agents, including Hayes, out as safe to work with children;

encouraged children to spend time with their agents; and/or encouraged their agents,

including Hayes, to spend time with, interact with, and recruit children.

30. By accepting custody of the minor Plaintiff, Defendants established an in

loco parentis relationship with Plaintiff and in so doing, owed Plaintiff a duty to protect

Plaintiff from injury. Further, Defendants entered into a fiduciary relationship with

Plaintiff by undertaking the custody, supervision of, and/or care of the minor Plaintiff.

As a result of Plaintiff being a minor, and by Defendants undertaking the care and

guidance of the Plaintiff, Defendants also held a position of empowerment over Plaintiff.

Further, Defendants, by holding themselves out as being able to provide a safe

environment for children, solicited and/or accepted this position of empowerment.

Defendants, through its employees, exploited this power over Plaintiff and, thereby, put

the minor Plaintiff at risk for sexual abuse.

31. By establishing and/or operating the BSA, accepting the minor Plaintiff as

a participant in their programs, holding their facilities and programs out to be a safe

environment for Plaintiff, accepting custody of the minor Plaintiff in loco parentis, and

by establishing a fiduciary relationship with Plaintiff, Defendants entered into an express

and/or implied duty to properly supervise Plaintiff and provide a reasonably safe

10

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900034/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

environment for children, who participated in their programs. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty to properly supervise Plaintiff to prevent harm from foreseeable dangers. Defendants had the duty to exercise the same degree of care over minors under their control as a reasonably prudent person would have exercised under similar

circumstances.

32. By establishing and operating the BSA, which offered educational programs to children, and by accepting the enrollment and participation of the minor Plaintiff as a participant in those educational programs, Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty

to properly supervise Plaintiff to prevent harm from generally foreseeable dangers.

33. Each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from harm because Defendants invited Plaintiff onto their property and Hayes posed a dangerous

condition on Defendants' property.

34. Each Defendant breached its duties to Plaintiff. Defendants failed to use ordinary care in determining whether their facilities were safe and/or determining whether they had sufficient information to represent their facilities as safe. Defendants' breach of their duties include, but are not limited to: failure to protect Plaintiff from a known danger, failure to have sufficient policies and procedures in place to prevent child sex abuse, failure to properly implement policies and procedures to prevent child sex abuse, failure to take reasonable measures to ensure that policies and procedures to prevent child sex abuse were working, failure to adequately inform families and children of the risks of child sex abuse, failure to investigate risks of child molestation, failure to properly train the employees at institutions and programs within Defendants'

ILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 08/19/2019 09:15 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900034/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

geographical confines, failure to train the minors within Defendants' geographical

confines about the dangers of sexual abuse by leaders and/or scoutmasters, failure to

have any outside agency test their safety procedures, failure to protect the children in

their programs from child sex abuse, failure to adhere to the applicable standard of care

for child safety, failure to investigate the amount and type of information necessary to

represent the institutions, programs, leaders and people as safe, failure to train their

employees properly to identify signs of child molestation by fellow employees, failure by

relying upon mental health professionals, and/or failure by relying on people who

claimed that they could treat child molesters.

35. Defendants also breached their duty to Plaintiff by failing to warn Plaintiff

and Plaintiff's family of the risk that Hayes posed and the risks of child sexual abuse in

the Boys Scouts of America. They also failed to warn them about any of the knowledge

that Defendants had about child sexual abuse, including the information contained in the

"Ineligible Volunteer" Files.

36. Defendants additionally violated a legal duty by failing to report known

and/or suspected abuse of children by Hayes and/or its other agents to the police and

law enforcement.

37. Prior to the sexual abuse of Plaintiff, Defendants learned or should have

learned that Hayes was not fit to work with children. Defendants, by and through their

agents, servants and/or employees, became aware, or should have become aware of

Hayes' propensity to commit sexual abuse and of the risk to Plaintiff's safety. At the very

least, Defendants knew or should have known that they did not have sufficient

12

COUNTY CLERK 08/19/2019

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900034/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

information about whether or not their leaders and people working in the Boy Scouts were safe.

- 38. Defendants knew or should have known that there was a risk of child sex abuse for children participating in BSA programs and activities. At the very least, Defendants knew or should have known that they did not have sufficient information about whether or not there was a risk of child sex abuse for children participating in BSA programs and activities.
- 39. Defendants knew or should have known that Defendants had numerous agents who had sexually molested children. Defendants knew or should have known that child molesters have a high rate of recidivism. They knew or should have known that there was a specific danger of child sex abuse for children participating in their youth programs.
- 40. However, despite this knowledge, Defendants negligently deemed that Hayes was fit to work with children; and/or that any previous suitability problems Hayes had were fixed and cured; and/or that Hayes would not sexually molest children; and/or that Hayes would not injure children.
- 41. Defendants' actions created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff. As a vulnerable child participating in the programs and activities Defendants offered to minors, Plaintiff was a foreseeable victim. Additionally, as a vulnerable child who Hayes had access to through Defendants' facilities and programs, Plaintiff was a foreseeable victim.
 - As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional, 42.

INDEX NO. 900034/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering. The sexual abuse and resulting injuries to Plaintiff were caused solely and wholly by reason of the negligent failures of

Defendants.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

COUNT II: NEGLIGENT HIRING OF EMPLOYEES

43. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully

set forth under this count.

44. At all times material, Hayes was employed by Defendants and was under

each Defendant's direct supervision, employ, and control when he committed the

wrongful acts alleged herein. Hayes engaged in the illegal conduct while acting in the

course and scope of his employment with Defendants and/or accomplished the sexual

abuse by virtue of his job-created authority.

45. Defendants were negligent in the hiring of its employees. Defendants

negligently hired and/or retained Hayes and/or negligently placed Hayes in a position

to cause foreseeable harm which Plaintiff would not have been subjected to had

Defendants taken reasonable care in its pre-hiring investigation of Hayes.

46. Defendants negligently hired Hayes with knowledge of Hayes' propensity

for the type of behavior which resulted in Plaintiff's injuries in this action. Defendants

failed to investigate Hayes' past history of inappropriate conduct and, through the

exercise of reasonable diligence, should have known of Hayes' propensity for child sexual

abuse. Defendant was required to make an appropriate investigation of Hayes and failed

to do so. An appropriate investigation would have revealed the unsuitability of Hayes

for employment and it was unreasonable for Defendants to hire Hayes in light of the

14

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900034/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

information they knew or should have known.

47. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional,

and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering. The sexual abuse and resulting

injuries to Plaintiff were caused solely and wholly by reason of the negligent failures of

Defendants.

COUNT III: NEGLIGENT TRAINING AND SUPERVISION OF EMPLOYEES

48. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully

set forth under this count.

49. At all times material, Hayes was employed by Defendants and was under

each Defendant's direct supervision, employ, and control when he committed the

wrongful acts alleged herein. Hayes engaged in the wrongful conduct while acting in the

course and scope of his employment with Defendants and/or accomplished the sexual

abuse by virtue of his job-created authority.

50. Defendants had a duty, arising from their employment of Hayes, to ensure

that he did not sexually molest children.

51. Further, Defendants owed a duty to train and educate employees and

administrators and establish adequate and effective policies and procedures calculated

to detect, prevent, and address inappropriate behavior and conduct between adults and

children.

52. Defendants were negligent in the training, supervision, and instruction of

their employees. Defendants failed to timely and properly educate, train, supervise,

and/or monitor their agents or employees with regard to policies and procedures that

15

'ILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 08/19/2019 09:15 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900034/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

should be followed when sexual abuse of a child is suspected or observed. Defendants

were additionally negligent in failing to supervise, monitor, chaperone, and/or

investigate Hayes and/or in failing to create, institute, and/or enforce rules, policies,

procedures, and/or regulations to prevent Hayes' sexual abuse of Plaintiff. In failing to

properly supervise Hayes, and in failing to establish such training procedures for

employees and administrators, Defendants failed to exercise the degree of care that a

reasonably prudent person would have exercised under similar circumstances.

53. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional,

and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering. The sexual abuse and resulting

injuries to Plaintiff were caused solely and wholly by reason of the negligent failures of

Defendants in the training and/or supervising of its employees.

COUNT IV: NEGLIGENT RETENTION OF EMPLOYEES

54. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully

set forth under this count.

55. At all times material, Hayes was employed by Defendants and was under

each Defendant's direct supervision, employ, and control when he committed the

wrongful acts alleged herein.

56. Defendants negligently retained Hayes with knowledge of Hayes'

propensity for the type of behavior which resulted in Plaintiff's injuries in this action.

Defendants failed to investigate Hayes' past and/or current history of sexual abuse and,

through the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have known of Hayes' propensity

for child sexual abuse. Defendants should have made an appropriate investigation of

16

'ILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 08/19/2019 09:15 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900034/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

Hayes and failed to do so. An appropriate investigation would have revealed the

unsuitability of Hayes for continued employment and it was unreasonable for

Defendants to retain Hayes in light of the information they knew or should have known.

57. Defendants negligently retained Hayes in a position where he had access to

children and could foreseeably cause harm which Plaintiff would not have been subjected

to had Defendants taken reasonable care.

58. In failing to timely remove Hayes from working with children or terminate

the employment of Hayes, Defendants failed to exercise the degree of care that a

reasonably prudent person would have exercised under similar circumstances.

59. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional,

and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering. The sexual abuse and resulting

injuries to Plaintiff were caused solely and wholly by reason of the negligent failures of

Defendants in the retention of its employees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing causes of action, Plaintiff prays for

judgment against Defendants in an amount that will fully and fairly compensate Plaintiff

for Plaintiff's injuries and damages and for any other relief the Court deems appropriate.

The amount of damages sought in this Complaint exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all

lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable. Pursuant to §4 of the New

York Child Victims Act, Plaintiff is entitled to a trial preference.

17

FILED: NASSAU COUNTY CLERK 08/19/2019 09:15 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900034/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2019

Dated: August 19, 2019

New York, New York

/s/ Patrick Stoneking

Patrick Stoneking

Nahid A. Shaikh

Rayna E. Kessler (Pro Hac Vice to be Filed)

ROBINS KAPLAN LLP

399 Park Avenue, Suite 3600

New York, NY 10022

Telephone: (212) 980-7400

Email: PStoneking@RobinsKaplan.com Email: NShaikh@RobinsKaplan.com Email: RKessler@RobinsKaplan.com

Tara D. Sutton (*Pro Hac Vice to be Filed*)

ROBINS KAPLAN LLP

800 LaSalle Avenue, Suite 2800

Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone: (612) 349-8500

Email: TSutton@RobinsKaplan.com

Roman M. Silberfeld (Pro Hac Vice to be Filed)

ROBINS KAPLAN LLP

2049 Century Park East, Suite 3400

Los Angeles, CA 90067

Telephone: (310) 552-0130

Email: RSilberfeld@RobinsKaplan.com

Jeffrey R. Anderson

J. Michael Reck

JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES, P.A.

52 Duane Street, 7th Floor

New York, NY 10007

Telephone: (646) 759-2551

Email: Jeff@AndersonAdvocates.com Email: MReck@AndersonAdvocates.com

Counsel for Plaintiff